



FARADAY COPPER

# Bringing a Senior Mining Company Mindset to a Junior

CORPORATE PRESENTATION  
April 2022



# CAUTIONARY STATEMENT



Some of the statements in this presentation, other than statements of historical fact, are “forward-looking statements” and are based on the opinions and estimates of management as of the date such statements are made and are necessarily based on estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements of Faraday Copper Corp. (“Faraday Copper”) to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements and forward-looking information specifically include, but are not limited to, statements concerning Faraday Copper’s intention to seek shareholder approval to amend its name, Faraday Copper’s intention to list on the TSX.V, statements concerning the exploration prospects and projected resources of the properties of Faraday Copper, future capitalization and market capitalization of Faraday Copper, the successful acquisition of additional copper projects, development of, optimization of, and future expansion drilling on the Copper Creek and Contact Copper projects. Although Faraday Copper believes the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements should not be in anyway construed as guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements or information.

Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements include without limitation: failure to obtain regulatory or shareholder approval, market prices for metals; the conclusions of detailed feasibility and technical analyses; lower than expected grades and quantities of resources; mining rates and recovery rates; significant capital requirements; price volatility in the spot and forward markets for commodities; fluctuations in rates of exchange; taxation; controls, regulations and political or economic developments in the countries in which Faraday Copper does or may carry on business; the speculative nature of mineral exploration and development, competition; loss of key employees; rising costs of labour, supplies, fuel and equipment; actual results of current exploration or reclamation activities; accidents; labour disputes; defective title to mineral claims or property or contests over claims to mineral properties; unexpected delays and costs inherent to consulting and accommodating rights of First Nations and other Aboriginal groups; risks, uncertainties and unanticipated delays associated with obtaining and maintaining necessary licenses, permits and authorizations and complying with permitting requirements, including those associated with the Contact Copper and Copper Creek properties; and uncertainties with respect to any future acquisitions by Faraday Copper. In addition, there are risks and hazards associated with the business of mineral exploration, development and mining, including environmental events and hazards, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected formations, pressures, cave-ins, flooding and the risk of inadequate insurance or inability to obtain insurance to cover these risks as well as “Risk Factors” included in Faraday Copper’s disclosure documents filed on and available at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

This presentation does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. This presentation is not, and under no circumstances is to be construed as, a prospectus, an offering memorandum, an advertisement or a public offering of securities in Faraday Copper in Canada, the United States or any other jurisdiction. No securities commission or similar authority in Canada or in the United States has reviewed or in any way passed upon this presentation, and any representation to the contrary is an offence.

All of the forward-looking statements contained in this presentation are qualified by these cautionary statements. Faraday Copper does not intend, and does not assume any obligation, to update these forward-looking statements, except as required under applicable securities legislation. For more information on the Faraday Copper, readers should refer to [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) for the Faraday Copper’s filings with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities.

Technical information in this presentation has been reviewed and approved by Thomas Bissig, Professional Geologist, VP Exploration and Zach Allwright, Professional Engineer, VP Projects and Evaluations, both a “Qualified Person” as defined under NI 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (“NI 43-101”).



# WHY INVEST IN FARADAY COPPER?



Building a premier North American copper exploration and development company

Two projects in Arizona & Nevada and multi-billion-pound resource base	Over \$100M of drill data offering optimization & exploration opportunities	Projects offer U.S. domestic copper supply supporting the clean energy transition	Experienced Management & Board with a clear vision to create value
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <b>Copper Creek:</b> one of the largest undeveloped copper projects in North America with open pit and bulk underground mining optionality</li><li>▪ <b>Contact Copper:</b> low-cost open pit heap leach SX/EW oxide project supporting 50M lbs/year copper production</li><li>▪ Both projects have excellent access to infrastructure</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ <b>Copper Creek:</b> &gt;200,000m drilled with metallurgical testing and an existing 2013 PEA. Only 8 of over 400 breccia pipes are included in the resource estimate</li><li>▪ <b>Contact Copper:</b> &gt;86,000m drilled supporting 2013 PFS study. Copper Ridge prospect traced oxide copper mineralization in rock chips over 2.5km x 0.6km with grades up to 12.4% Cu</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Leverage to strong copper demand for global electrification and clean energy transition</li><li>▪ Limited new copper projects are entering commercial production over the next 5 years to meet growing copper demand</li><li>▪ <b>Copper Creek:</b> Offers potential for 30+ year mine life</li><li>▪ <b>Contact Copper:</b> Offers near term revenue potential</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Successful track record of discovery, mineral development, value creation and capital markets experience</li><li>▪ Compensation and incentives closely aligned with long-term shareholder value creation</li><li>▪ Company has applied to list its common shares on the <b>TSX.V</b><sup>1</sup></li></ul>

<sup>1</sup> Listing of the Company's common shares on the TSX.V will be subject to the approval of the TSX.V in accordance with its listing requirements and there is no assurance that the TSX.V will approve the listing application.



# MANAGEMENT



## Bringing senior mining company expertise

### **Paul Harbidge | President & CEO**

- ~30 years of experience in mining exploration and development. Previously President & CEO of GT Gold, leading the company to a CA\$456 million acquisition by Newmont, former SVP Exploration at Goldcorp and GM Exploration at Randgold Resources

### **Graham Richardson | CFO**

- +15 years of experience in the mining sector; Canadian Chartered Professional Accountant

### **Dr. Tomas Bissig | VP Exploration**

- +20 years of experience and a strong technical background in geology, geochemistry, and mineralogy; Geologist

### **Zach Allwright | VP Projects & Evaluations**

- +15 years of experience, delivering technical studies from conceptual level (PEA) to project execution (DFS); Mining engineer

### **Aaron Cohn | VP & Country Manager, USA**

- +15 years of experience in optimizing mining projects, programs and initiatives; Mining engineer

### **Angela Johnson | VP Corporate Development & Sustainability**

- +12 years of experience in technical, operational, and corporate leadership roles; Geologist

### **Stacey Pavlova | VP Investor Relations & Communications**

- +10 years of experience in investor relations, finance and metal sales in the mining industry; CFA Charter holder



# EXPERIENCED BOARD OF DIRECTORS



## PAUL HARBIDGE

**PRESIDENT, CEO & DIRECTOR**  
TECHNICAL EXPERTISE

## AUDRA WALSH

**INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR**  
TECHNICAL AND OPERATING EXPERTISE

## RUSSELL BALL

**CHAIR & INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR**  
CAPITAL MARKETS AND FINANCIAL EXPERTISE

## RANDY ENGEL

**INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR**  
FINANCIAL AND STRATEGIC EXPERTISE

## ALAN WILSON

**INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR**  
EXPLORATION EXPERTISE

## ROBERT DOYLE

**INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR**  
FINANCIAL EXPERTISE

## KATHERINE ARNOLD

**INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR**  
SUSTAINABILITY AND PERMITTING EXPERTISE

**A new Board of Directors brings technical and local expertise**



# FARADAY COPPER: WELL-POSITIONED FOR SUCCESS



Ticker	CSE: FDY
Share Price ( <i>Mar 31, 2022</i> )	C\$0.86
52-Week Trading Range	C\$0.35 – C\$0.96
Basic Shares Outstanding	97.26M
Options ( <i>avg ex \$0.39</i> )	15.02M
Restricted Share Units	0.52M
Warrants <sup>1</sup>	13.79M
Market Capitalization ( <i>Basic</i> )	C\$83.6M
Cash ( <i>Dec 31, 2021</i> )	C\$4.2M



<sup>1</sup> 1.29M priced at \$1.00 expire August 2022, 12.5M priced at \$0.60 expire September 2026 and were issued as part of the September 2021 private placement



# ESG FRAMEWORK



## GOOD GOVERNANCE

- Conduct business with integrity, transparency and fairness

## HEALTH & SAFETY

- Instil a zero harm work environment

## ENVIRONMMENT

- A responsible steward of the natural environment

## COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- Commitment to open dialogue and support for the local economy and social programs

## CLEAN ENERGY FUTURE


- Responsibly develop projects to support the renewable energy sector

## POSITIVE WORKPLACE CULTURE

- Respectful, ethical, diverse, engaging, rewarding & balanced workplace



# PROJECT TIMELINE & MILESTONES



★ Milestone





FARADAY COPPER

# PROJECT SNAPSHOT







FARADAY COPPER

# COPPER CREEK


PINAL COUNTY, AZ



# LOCATED IN A TOP MINING JURISDICTION



- **100% owned, ~41 km<sup>2</sup> property** in Pinal County, Arizona—a top ranked mining jurisdiction in the world
- Contiguous group of patented and unpatented Federal claims and Arizona prospecting permits
- Near mining and service hubs:  
~120km northeast of Tucson  
~25km northeast of San Manuel
- Two smelters in the region: Hayden (Ray) & Miami (Freeport)
- Excellent infrastructure with access to rail, power, water & skilled labour
- Easily accessible by paved highways and gravel roads
- No significant urbanization near the project



**MAJOR NORTHWEST AND EAST-NORTHEAST  
PORPHYRY COPPER BELT INTERSECTION**




# PROPERTY PACKAGE

Over 200,000 m of historical drilling data available



Company	Date	Number of Holes	Total Drilling, m
Calumet & Arizona	1914	14	1,649
Bureau of Mines	1942-3	31	893
Siskon	1956-58	25	1,227
Bear Creek Mining	1959-62	15	8,865
Newmont	1966	22	9,223
Occidental	1968-70	49	2,810
Ranchers	1971	3	239
Magma	1971-72	38	28,734
Exxon	1971-72	21	22,412
Inspiration	1973	6	227
Phelps Dodge	1972-74	9	7,756
AMT	1995-2001	238	58,646
Redhawk	2006-12	78	58,030
Others		2	311
TOTAL			201,022

Private Surface; Federal Mineral are Unpatented Claims | Grade contours based upon 2012 Underground MRE | Redhawk, 100% owned subsidiary of Faraday Copper






# 3D INTERPRETED GEOLOGY



- Mineralization centred on Copper Creek Grandiorite (Laramide age)
- Emplaced into Precambrian and Paleozoic sediments and Cretaceous Glory Hole volcanics
- Post mineral, mid tertiary Galiuro volcanics
- The district is marked by over 400 breccia's, concentrated in two NW trending belts
- Two styles of mineralization: “Early Halo” vein style porphyry & Breccia style mineralization
- Porphyry mineralization is zoned with depth: pyrite-dominant mineralization near surface transitioning into chalcopyrite-dominant mineralization with increasing bornite at depth





Source: Reidell et al 2013 SEG Conference Whistler | Grade contours based upon 2012 Underground MRE





# LARGE MINERALIZED SYSTEM



## DEEP/EARLY MINERALIZATION




## SHALLOW/LATE MINERALIZATION






# CROSS SECTION




NW-SE section (200 m wide) through Mammoth, Keel, and American Eagle showing Cu> 0.25%. Block model shown in background cut off at 0.5% Cu equiv.



Southeast trending belt.



# Re-exported section. See slide 15





# OPEN PIT CONSTRAINED MRE



- Mineral Resource Estimate prepared by IMC (2012) envisaged large scale open pit extraction only
- Based on 480 drill holes and >200,000 m of drilling
- Resource area extends over a ~3 km by ~2 km area and to vertical depths of ~1,500 m

## Historic NI 43-101 Compliant Resource Estimate (Jun 2012)

CuEq Cut-Off	Category	Tons ('000)	Cu (%)	Mo (%)	Ag (ppm)	CuEq (%)	Cu (Blbs)	Mo (Mlbs)	Ag (Moz)	CuEq (Blbs)
0.20%	Measured	45,488	0.72%	0.013%	2.63	0.80%	0.7	12	4	0.7
	Indicated	456,687	0.42%	0.008%	1.24	0.46%	3.8	73	18	4.2
	<b>M&amp;I</b>	<b>501,175</b>	<b>0.44%</b>	<b>0.009%</b>	<b>1.37</b>	<b>0.49%</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>4.9</b>
	Inferred	481,309	0.34%	0.007%	0.90	0.38%	3.3	63	13	3.7
0.30%	Measured	37,827	0.82%	0.015%	2.94	0.91%	0.6	11	4	0.7
	Indicated	305,120	0.51%	0.010%	1.49	0.57%	3.1	61	15	3.4
	M&I	342,947	0.54%	0.011%	1.65	0.60%	3.7	73	17	4.1
	Inferred	256,329	0.45%	0.009%	1.24	0.49%	2.3	44	9	2.5

Totals may not add due to rounding.

The foregoing historic mineral resource estimate (the "MRE") was published in a technical report titled "Copper Creek 2012 Mineral Resource Update, Pinal County, Arizona, USA, Technical Report" prepared for Redhawk Resources Inc. ("Redhawk") by Independent Mining Consultants Inc. ("IMC"), dated and filed by Redhawk on SEDAR on June 25, 2012. The MRE was calculated using a 0.20% copper equivalent ("CuEq") cut-off grade. The MRE is based on metal prices of US\$2.75/lb CuEq and contained within an open pit geometry using industry comparable estimates for direct mining, milling, and G&A costs. The ratios for calculating CuEq are based upon US\$2.75/lb Cu, US\$12.00/lb Mo, and US\$20.00/oz Ag and recoveries of 90% for Cu, 80% for Mo, and 90% for Ag.

Because the MRE was completed in compliance with the definitions for mineral resource categorization set out by the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum, and disclosed in a technical report conforming to the requirements of NI 43-101, Faraday Copper is of the view that the MRE is generally reliable and relevant to an evaluation of the property, however, Faraday Copper's Qualified Person(s) has not completed any independent verification of the MRE. Faraday Copper intends to complete additional resource drilling on Copper Creek for purposes of increasing and upgrading the mineral resource prior to completion of a new mineral resource estimate.



# UNDERGROUND CONSTRAINED MRE



- 2013 PEA envisaged selective underground extraction only
- The associated underground constrained resource focused on:
  - Keel & American Eagle porphyry
  - 5 Breccias (Globe, Copper Prince, Childs Adwinkle, Mammoth and Old Reliable)
- Keel & American Eagle represent 96% of total resource tonnage and 93% of resource CuEq copper lbs.

## Historic NI 43-101 Compliant Resource Estimate (Dec 2012)

Category	Tons ('000)	Cu (%)	Mo (%)	Ag (ppm)	CuEq (%)	Cu (Blbs)	Mo (Mlbs)	Ag (Moz)	CuEq (Blbs)
Measured	36,954	0.88%	0.02%	3.22	0.99%	0.6	14	4	0.7
Indicated	116,745	0.71%	0.01%	0.96	0.77%	1.7	29	4	1.8
<b>M&amp;I</b>	<b>153,699</b>	<b>0.75%</b>	<b>0.01%</b>	<b>1.67</b>	<b>0.83%</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2.5</b>
Inferred	86,694	0.69%	0.01%	1.26	0.76%	1.2	25	3	1.3

Totals may not add due to rounding.

The foregoing historic mineral resource estimate (the "MRE") was published in a technical report titled "Copper Creek 2012 Mineral Resource Update, Pinal County, Arizona, USA, Technical Report" prepared for Redhawk Resources Inc. ("Redhawk") by Independent Mining Consultants Inc. ("IMC"), dated and filed by Redhawk on SEDAR on June 25, 2012. The MRE was calculated using a 0.20% copper equivalent ("CuEq") cut-off grade. The MRE is based on metal prices of US\$2.75/lb CuEq and contained within an open pit geometry using industry comparable estimates for direct mining, milling, and G&A costs. The ratios for calculating CuEq are based upon US\$2.75/lb Cu, US\$12.00/lb Mo, and US\$20.00/oz Ag and recoveries of 90% for Cu, 80% for Mo, and 90% for Ag.

Because the MRE was completed in compliance with the definitions for mineral resource categorization set out by the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum, and disclosed in a technical report conforming to the requirements of NI 43-101, Faraday Copper is of the view that the MRE is generally reliable and relevant to an evaluation of the property, however, Faraday Copper's Qualified Person(s) has not completed any independent verification of the MRE. Faraday Copper intends to complete additional resource drilling on Copper Creek for purposes of increasing and upgrading the mineral resource prior to completion of a new mineral resource estimate.



# TECHNICAL APPROACH



Focused on optimizing Copper Creek via a robust technical study, targeting a high-grade open pit with low initial capital, followed by bulk underground mining

Elevated quality of key technical areas (“PEA”):

**GEOLOGICAL MODEL**

Three-dimensional model of lithology, structure, alteration and mineralization

**RESOURCE MODEL**

Validated database and robust estimation implicitly linked to geological model

**GEOTECHNICAL**

Technical parameters based on real data not just assumptions

**INVENTORY**

Robust cut-off logic & sensitivity tested

**METALLURGY**

Quality test work program to inform infrastructure decisions

**HYDROGEOLOGY**

Data gathering and incorporation to the studies

**ENVIRONMENT**

Proactive baseline data gathering and monitoring

**PRODUCTION PROFILE**

Realistic time to first ore and executable ramp up

**CAPEX**

Realistic estimates with staged deployment

**PERMITTING/CSR**

Roadmap to permitting



# METALLURGICAL TEST WORK

## High metal recoveries and clean quality concentrate



### METCON (2008-2012)

- Extensive open cycle Cu-Mo second cleaner flotation testing completed indicated:
  - Copper recoveries ranged from 93% to 77%
  - Molybdenum recoveries ranged from 97% to 38%
- Locked cycle flotation tests on the average grade composites showed:
  - Over 95% copper recoveries
  - 32% to 62% copper concentrate grades
  - Molybdenum recoveries proportional to head grade; 94% to 28% recoveries from high to low grade samples

### GOLDERS (2007)

- Acid base accounting testing indicates that future development rock exhibits **low potential for acid generation**

Cu-Mo second cleaner flotation test results on composite samples

Sample ID	Cu-Mo Second Cleaner Concentrate				Recovery (%)			
	Cu (%)	Mo (%)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Cu	Mo	Au	Ag
Composite 1 - Copper Grade in the 0.2 to 0.3 Percent Range	28.80	0.56	1.20	NA	86.71	75.34	NA	NA
Composite 2 - Chalcopyrite Dominant Copper Grade $\geq 0.2$ to 0.5 Percent	30.50	0.39	1.40	NA	85.26	72.03	NA	NA
Composite 3 - Chalcopyrite Dominant, Copper Grade $\geq 0.5$ Percent	30.20	0.75	1.49	NA	87.23	73.76	NA	NA
Composite 4 - Bornite Moderate to Strong, Copper Grade $\geq 0.2$ to 0.5 Percent	41.80	2.28	3.95	NA	85.43	72.45	NA	NA
Composite 5 - Bornite Moderate to Strong, Copper Grade $\geq 0.5$ Percent	40.10	0.56	5.66	NA	77.17	80.67	NA	NA
Composite 6 - High Copper Grade	31.10	0.20	0.96	NA	88.95	77.40	NA	NA
Composite 7 - Mid Copper Grade	23.90	0.20	0.93	NA	87.36	66.46	NA	NA
Composite 8 - Low Copper Grade	25.50	0.34	0.95	NA	82.78	65.97	NA	NA
Composite 9 - SE Low Copper Grade	18.99	0.04	0.54	47	88.59	37.84	57.37	54.08
Composite 10 - SE Moderate High Grade	21.07	0.16	0.57	61	92.84	80.17	72.42	70.16
Composite 11 - SE High Bornite	21.84	1.07	0.41	56	88.27	87.33	45.47	53.87
Composite 12 - SW Low Copper Grade	20.84	0.79	0.73	46	85.07	86.69	57.74	49.11
Composite 13 - SW Moderate High Copper Grade	31.01	0.03	0.77	44	89.29	38.67	62.83	48.27
Composite 14 - SW High Bornite	31.50	12.30	3.59	154	91.81	97.06	82.40	78.80


Table generated by METCON Research ("METCON") as part of the 2012 Mineral Resource Estimate ("MRE"), data for the MRE was sourced from the METCON report titled "Copper Creek Project – Preliminary Open Cycle Flotation Study (Variability Flotation Testing)", dated June 2012.





# PIT CONCEPT (UNCONSTRAINED MODEL)



- PEA will assess the economic potential of open pit extraction within the near surface breccia deposits



LOOKING WEST




The potential grade and scale of the open pit and underground inventory is conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient technical analysis to define it as economically viable inventory or mineable Reserve. Note that all Resource sections and value presented have been assumed as depleted for any historic mining. Images above reflect conceptual pit shells applied to the 2012 historic resource model using a 0.27% CuEq cut-off-grade.



# BULK UNDERGROUND POTENTIAL



- PEA will consider various underground extraction options, taking advantage of the optionality the orebody presents
- The image below reflects conceptual stope blocks generated with the 2012 historic mineral resource model







# LEVEL PLAN



- Substantial mineable footprint demonstrates significant expansion potential and upside via increased drilling density
- Example of grade distribution within the American Eagle and Keel
- Note the high-grade core(s) as per 2012 historic resource model (grade shell approach)



Plan view at 440m elevation




# PHASE 1 DRILL PROGRAM



**~5,000 M PROGRAM OVER 8 HOLES  
DESIGNED TO TEST BOTH PORPHYRY  
AND BRECCIA STYLE MINERALIZATION**

- 1:** From Childs-Adwinkle to Mammoth, N to S
- 2:** Below Glory Hole from NW to SE
- 3:** From Glory Hole SE towards Copper Prince
- 4:** Angled NW to SE across Copper Creek to Keel
- 5:** From Copper Prince to Copper Giant drilled to N
- 6:** From Copper Giant to Copper Prince drilled to S
- 7:** Angled hole to N across American Eagle
- 8:** From NE to SW below Old Reliable





# EXPLORATION UPSIDE SUMMARY



## NEXT STEPS

**Currently:** Integrate existing technical data layers


**Q3'22:** Target generation study, ranking and prioritization

**Q3'22:** Design follow-up exploration program

**Q4'22:** Commence exploration program

High conductivity zone in western breccias sericite-clay alteration

Limited drilling with good Cu intercepts > 0.5%



Grade contours based upon 2012 Underground MRE





FARADAY COPPER

# CONTACT COPPER



ELKO COUNTY, NV



# LOCATED IN A TOP MINING JURISDICTION



- **100% owned, 5,935 acres of patented and unpatented mining claims**
- **80 km north of the town of Wells in Elko County, NV —a top ranked mining jurisdiction in the world**
- **The majority of the defined mineral reserves are located on royalty-free private property**
- **Easily accessible: less than 2km west of U.S. Highway 93**
- **Excellent access to power, water and local mining services**



Refer to the technical report titled "NI 43-101 Pre-Feasibility Study on the Contact Copper Project" prepared for International Enxco, Ltd. by Hard Rock Consulting, LLC dated and filed by International Enxco Ltd. on SEDAR on October 1, 2013.



# MINERAL RESERVES & RESOURCES



- Disseminated oxide copper mineralization in quartz veins within large structural zones
- Mineralization is oxidized to depths of up to 600 m
- +86,000 m of drilling completed at the Contact deposit between 1967 and 2012
- Multiple untested targets ready for drilling

## Historic NI 43-101 Compliant Reserves and Resources (2013)

Category	Tons ('000)	Cu (%)	Cu (Mlbs)
Proven Reserves	57,678	0.23%	263.2
Probable Reserves	83,416	0.21%	348.5
P&P Reserves	141,094	0.22%	611.7
Measured Resources	75,473	0.21%	314.0
Indicated Resources	137,640	0.19%	517.5
<b>M&amp;I Resources</b>	<b>213,113</b>	<b>0.20%</b>	<b>831.5</b>
Inferred Resources	12,982	0.20%	52.2

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Mineral reserves reported at 0.07% Cu cut-off, and pit-constrained within a Lerchs-Grossman pit shell based on a copper price of US\$3.20/lb Cu and operating cost and recovery parameters as described in the October 2013 Pre-Feasibility Study. Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources are inclusive of mineral reserves and are captured within the pit shell based on a 0.05% Cu cut-off. Pit optimization is based on assumed copper price of US\$4.00/lb.

The foregoing historic mineral reserves and resources estimate (the "MRE") was published in a technical report titled "NI 43-101 Pre-Feasibility Study on the Contact Copper Project" prepared for International Enxco, Ltd. by Hard Rock Consulting, LLC dated and filed by International Enxco Ltd. on SEDAR on October 1, 2013.

Because the MRE was completed in compliance with the definitions for mineral resource categorization set out by the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum, and disclosed in a technical report conforming to the requirements of NI 43-101, Faraday Copper is of the view that the MRE is generally reliable and relevant to an evaluation of the property, however Faraday Copper's internal Qualified Person(s) has not completed any independent verification of the MRE. Faraday Copper intends to complete additional resource drilling on Contact Copper for purposes of increasing and upgrading the mineral resource prior to completion of a new mineral resource estimate.



# COPPER OXIDE DEPOSIT



- Traced over 2.3km east-west and 0.9km north-south

Open to the west

Open to the north and south


- Highlights from previously drilled near-surface holes

22.9 m at 1.00% Cu starting at 44.2 m (EN-104)

59.4 m at 0.70% Cu starting at 3.0 m (EN-111)

18.9 m at 0.70% Cu starting at surface (EN-68)

25.9 m at 0.74% Cu starting at surface (EN-109)



Proposed drill holes in image are historical plans and are not necessarily reflective of how Faraday Copper will plan drilling.




# EXPLORATION UPSIDE



- Excellent potential to expand the Contact deposit in multiple directions
- Past surface sampling programs at the Copper Ridge and New York prospects indicate the potential for additional oxide copper mineralization across the 5,900 acre property
- At Copper Ridge 28 rock samples have traced surface oxide copper mineralization 2.5 km by 0.6 km, with values up to 12.4% Cu<sup>1</sup>, no previous drilling

<sup>1</sup> Refer to International Enxco Ltd. press release dated August 27, 2012 and filed on SEDAR



Proposed drill holes in the image above are historical plans and are not necessarily reflective of how Faraday Copper will plan drilling.



# FARADAY COPPER: WHY INVEST?



- Multi-billion-pound U.S. domestic source of copper, supporting the clean energy transition
- Extensive historical data to form the basis for optimization of both projects with near-term study delivery
- Projects offer the potential for low carbon footprint operations within a responsible mining framework
- Market capitalization, relative to the current mineral resources, offers a compelling copper investment opportunity
- Experienced management and board with proven track record of value creation
- Significant exploration upside on both project





# FARADAY COPPER

## CONTACT INFORMATION

Suite 250, 200 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC Canada  
[info@faradaycopper.com](mailto:info@faradaycopper.com)

## PAUL HARBIDGE

CEO & Director  
778-987-2761  
[pharbridge@faradaycopper.com](mailto:pharbridge@faradaycopper.com)

[faradaycopper.com](http://faradaycopper.com)